UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,471	07/01/2003	Reiko Ueno	OGOH: 123A 1068		
27890 STEPTOE & J	7590 12/10/2007 OHNSON LLP		EXAM	INER	
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W.	STRANGE, AARON N			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	12/10/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

M	
111	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/609,471	UENO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Aaron Strange	2153	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
ГΗΕ	REPLY FILED 09 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
nave under set fo may i	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit rate of the state of the sta	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origet than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
			will was be a manual because
3. K	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·	TE below),
	(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. <u> </u>	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. [Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	
3. <u> </u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered and an explanation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		•
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-26</u> .		

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 🖂	The request for recon	sideration has been	considered but do	es NOT p	place the app	olication in c	ondition for	allowance b	ecause:
	see attachment.							1	

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

3	П	Oth	er.		
•					•

GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

Application/Control Number: 10/609,471

Art Unit: 2153

Response to Arguments

Most notably, Applicant argues that Balassanian does not disclose "a plurality of networks in a home bus system". The Examiner respectfully disagrees, since
Balassanian clearly discloses a plurality of networks (i.e., WAN 160 and LAN 195; Fig. 1b), as discussed the Office action of 8/9/07.

Applicant appears to generally assert that Balassanian "is quite different from the present invention" (Remarks, 9) and does not teach Applicant's "routing techniques". However, Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out *how* the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

